

[Click to verify](#)



I have a little trouble with the word 'check'... it is said: a) check by someone b) check by a tool c) check with someone d) check with a tool can I say all of these? Thank you. I have a little trouble with the word 'check'... it is said: a) check by someone b) check by a tool c) check with someone d) check with a tool can I say all of these? Thank you. hello, you can use c y d. check with es confirmar I can't never say "check by" ? and may I say "test by /with" ? Thnaks again. context would help a lot, yes it is possible to check by the house ect to search for something. I am going to test this piece of wood, by smashing it with a hammer. But if I say "the house was checked/inspected by the buyer" would be right and "the house was checked with an inspector (people together)" or "the house was checked with/by a x tool" would be o, too. ? Hi all. I often find in technical literature things like this: Perhaps the most elegant solution is to check for the sign of the sum and compare it against the signs of the numbers added. The meaning is clear to me. However, I've looked it up in the dictionary and I haven't found any example sentence showing "to check for". Is it correct? Wouldn't "to check" just suffice? Thanks and regards. Hi all. I often find in technical literature things like this: Perhaps the most elegant solution is to check for the sign of the sum and compare it against the signs of the numbers added. The meaning is clear to me. However, I've looked it up in the dictionary and I haven't found any example sentence showing "to check for". Is it correct? Wouldn't "to check" just suffice? Thanks and regards. In your sample sentence, I believe that "to check" is the verb, and "for the sign" is an adverbial phrase, telling where/what to check. Therefore, the "for" doesn't go with "check". A similar situation may be found in the following: I am going to tell on you! "Am going" is the verb, not "am going to". Hope this helps. Hi all. I often find in technical literature things like this: Perhaps the most elegant solution is to check for the sign of the sum and compare it against the signs of the numbers added. The meaning is clear to me. However, I've looked it up in the dictionary and I haven't found any example sentence showing "to check for". Is it correct? Wouldn't "to check" just suffice? Thanks and regards. I think you are correct. We use to check for when you are looking for something in particular, whereas we we use to check when you are correcting, validating or approving something. E.g. Will you check for mistakes in my Spanish essay? Will you check my Spanish essay and tell me if there are any mistakes? Does this help? I think you are correct. We use to check for when you are looking for something in particular, whereas we we use to check when you are correcting, validating or approving something. Agreed. I think your sentence should be "check the sign", not "check for the sign". "to check" -- to correct; also to make a check mark "to check for" -- to verify the existence ofPlease check for my card; I mailed it two days ago. (== look for it, see if the card has arrived yet) Please check my card; it may contain spelling mistakes. (== review it, examine it, correct it) Check the sign of the answer... (== see what the sign is, determine whether the answer is positive or negative) Check for the sign of the answer... (== doesn't make sence... it would mean "make sure that the answer has a sign") Thanks guys for your kind help. 'Write me' is American. In British English we say 'write to me'. I would definitely say: "Write me when you get the chance". But I do think "to" is left out, so if I were writing more formally, I think I'd add "to". I've never thought about it before, but "write me" seems sloppy. I'd be interested to know if anyone can find something more "official". Added to this thread. Nat, Moderator In the following sentence, which one is correct? Can you please check for my grammatical mistakes in my essay? Can you please check my grammatical mistakes in my essay? Last edited by a moderator: Jun 29, 2022 I would use one of the following: Can you please check for grammatical mistakes in my essay? Can you please check my essay for grammatical mistakes? I would use one of the following: Can you please check for grammatical mistakes in my essay? Can you please check my essay for grammatical mistakes? What is the difference between check and check for? What is the difference between check and check for? "Check for" means find or identify, which is what you want (regardless of where the word "for" appears). "Check" is vaguer; it just means look at, with what your looking for left unsaid. Hi all. I often find in technical literature things like this: Perhaps the most elegant solution is to check for the sign of the sum and compare it against the signs of the numbers added. The meaning is clear to me. However, I've looked it up in the dictionary and I haven't found any example sentence showing "to check for". Is it correct? Wouldn't "to check" just suffice? Thanks and regards. Gwyen? VIETNAMESE I think you are correct. We use to check for when you are looking for something in particular, whereas we we use to check when you are correcting, validating or approving something. E.g. Will you check forWill you check for mistakes in my Spanish essay? Will you check my Spanish essay and tell me if there are any mistakes? Does this help? I would definitely say: "Write me when you get the chance". But I do think "to" is left out, so if I were writing more formally, I think I'd add "to". I've never thought about it before, but "write me" seems sloppy. I'd be interested to know if anyone can find something more "official". Gwyen Asked about " check for" as in he gave her a check for. "....In this context..it means the check wad a form of payment. The check is from a bank...it is written for a monetary amount. Top portion has writer's information (Full name sometimes address) Next on the line that indicates " pay to the order of" owner of the check will write in the receivers name. First name and Surname.small box to the right , there you Wright numbers indicating How many dollars it (the check) is for. i.e. (\$50.00) the long line just before the small box is to write out the amount in words ie. (Fifty dollars and no cents) I don't think the earlier discussion has been about what I would write as cheques (BrE spelling). And what about: Could you check it (e.g. my assignment) for mistakes? Can't we use the pronoun 'it' after 'check'? Could you check it (e.g. my assignment) for mistakes? Can't we use the pronoun 'it' after 'check'? You can. As long as your listener knows what a pronoun like it or this refers to, you can use it after the verb check. Last edited: Mar 11, 2025 To check (something) for (something) simply means to look for (something) there. So you can check the kitchen for mice, or check the beach for broken glass, etc. Or, if you know where you are to do the looking, someone might just say "Could you check for mice?" 百度知道>提示信息 知道宝贝找不到问题了>_提示信息 知道宝贝找不到问题了>_提示信息 知道宝贝找不到问题了>_提示信息 知道宝贝找不到问题了>_提示信息 知道宝贝找不到问题了>_提示信息 知道宝贝找不到问题了>_